

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/003,864	BATRA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ted M. Wang	2611	

All Participants:

(1) Ted M. Wang.

(2) Steven Shaw.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3/26/2007

Time: 1:40 p.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)


3/26/07

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 21 was missing from the amendment document dated 9/28/2006. The Applicant stated that it was unintentionally missing the claim 21 on 9/28/2006 amendment. Applicant requests to keep the claim 21 to the instant application as amended on 4/21/2006 amendment. .